

Salisbury (Alexander)

K

710.K.24

~~712.28~~

4

To the

PROPRIETORS

Of

EAST-INDIA STOCK.

I AM obliged to request the favour of your attendance at the India-House, on Thursday the 6th April to confirm by Ballot the Resolution of the Court of Directors, *that I shall be restored to the Company's Service, in which Service I went to Madrafs, a Writer, in 1752.*

I have authority from the present Chairman and Deputy to say that my *Restoration* entirely meets their approbation; and I must beg leave to observe that I did not return from India with a *fortune*, nor for any private purpose, but on publick Business; and, in August 1768, I presented a Memorial to the Court of Directors begging to be returned to my Station at Madrafs, in case The Company did not think proper then to employ me in the Service for which I had come to England; In October following, The Chairman informed me,

A

The

" The Court had not come to any resolution but that the
 " Consideration was referred to the proper Committee." I
 never afterwards received any answer to this Memorial ; but,
 in June 1769, The Court of Directors were pleased to
 give me £. 5000 for my *past Services* to The Company ; and
 in September 1770 appointed me Chief of Balambangan.

On the 21st March 1771, The Court of Directors *dismissed*
 me from The Company's Service ; the only motive assigned is,
 that I " appeared to be a *very improper person to be employed in*
" that Undertaking," however the Resolution instead of saying
 that I be " *removed from the office I then filled,*" expresses
 that I be " *dismissed The Company's Service ;*" the want of
 precision in this Resolution which was made, before the
 Regulating Act was passed, when the Court of Directors
 were under no restraint, is the only ground to require that
 my *Restoration* be confirmed by Ballot of the Proprietors :
 having repeatedly received the approbation of my Superiors
 in India, and of the Court of Directors at home, I hope it
 is unnecessary, and it might be thought indecent in me, to
 enter into a minute discussion of the motives which induced
 the Court of Directors to *dismiss* me ; particularly as the fol-
 lowing Declaration, is signed by *Fourteen* of the Gentlemen
then present.

" Differences

“ London, 15th March 1775.

“ Differences of Opinion having arisen concerning what
 “ was meant by the *Dismissal* of Mr. Dalrymple from the
 “ Company's Service; We think it but Justice to declare
 “ we only meant dismissal from the Charge of the Expe-
 “ dition to Balambangan.”

In February 1772, the Court of Directors were pleased to encourage me to undertake, and gave me a Sum of Money to defray the Expences of engraving, a Chart of the Northern part of the Bay of Bengal. Having finished this Work; in November 1772 I made an application to the Court of Directors, signifying that “ although I did
 “ not desire to supersede any man, having ever faithfully
 “ and zealously pursued what appeared to me the Company's
 “ Interest, I could not think the Court of Directors, meant
 “ for ever to preclude an old Servant, whose Integrity stood
 “ unimpeached, from the regular gradation of the Service ;”
 but The Court of Directors were not pleased to call upon me to return to my Station at Fort St. George. I have not however been *idle*, but have employed myself, during the whole time I have been in England, in the manner which I thought most conducive to the service of the Public in general, and of the East-India-Company in particular.

In

In the following Year, the Company were pleased to subscribe for the Publication of the *Plans of Ports &c.* in the *East-Indies* which were in *my Collection*, and in this Work (now finished and which contains *seventy-eight Plans* from unpublished M.S.S.) I have ever since been engaged: however when the Balambangan Affairs came under consideration, last year, I thought it incumbent on me again to make a tender of my services.

After the late appointment of Lord Pigot to the Government of Fort St. George, I was advised by the Chairman and Deputy to make a specifick application to the Court of Directors, before the Arrangement of the Madras Council was made, my former letters being considered as too general: I did so on the 3d instant, in these words.

“ Conscious that I have ever served the Company with
 “ zeal and fidelity, I flatter myself the Court of Directors will
 “ be pleased to restore me to my standing on the Madras
 “ Establishment, which will very much oblige, &c.”

On

On the 10th I received a Letter from the Secretary, enclosing the Opinion of the Company's Standing Council, viz.

" As Mr. Dalrymple *is considered* as having been dismissed
" from the East-India Company's Service in *general**, I am of
" opinion that he can't be restored to the Company's Service,
" but by pursuing the directions of the Act of Parliament of
" 13th of George the Third, for regulating the Affairs of the
" East-India Company."

(Signed)

March 9th, 1775.

C. SAYER.

On the 17th I wrote the following Answer.

" TO THE HON. THE COURT OF DIRECTORS, &c.

" GENTLEMEN,

" I have received the favour of the Secretary's Letter of the
" 10th Instant, and am obliged to the Court of Directors for
" the Opinion of the Company's Standing Council, which
" they have been pleased to transmit to me.

* N. B. This *Opinion* is grounded on a *false assumption*, viz. that Mr. D.
is *considered* to have been *dismissed* The Company's Service in *general*, vide the
Declaration of the *Majority* of the Gentlemen *then present*, Page 3.

" I have

“ I have examined the Act of Parliament with the utmost
 “ Attention, and am persuaded the *Spirit* of that Act cannot
 “ be understood to comprehend a dismission of this nature by
 “ the Court of Directors at home for *no crime*; nor are there
 “ any words in the Act which can, without the *most forced*
 “ *construction*, be applied to my case.

“ However, if the Court of Directors have any doubts
 “ concerning the Act of Parliament, knowing that I have
 “ never been *unfaithful* to the Company, I cannot have the
 “ *smallest objection* on *my own part* to undergo the *most Pub-*
 “ *lick Scrutiny*, and, considering myself as a *Child* of the
 “ Company, I am not at all apprehensive of the temperate
 “ decision of *That Parent*.

“ I have the Honor to be, &c.”

Soho-Square,
 March 17th, 1775.

The Majority of the Court of Directors, thinking it necessary to conform to the Injunctions of the Act; on the 21st of March, agreed to *restore* me to the Company's Service, and reported this to the General Court held on the 22d. Some Proprietors

Proprietors insisted that no Construction of the Act could extend to my Case, and therefore objected to any Ballot ; but as the Court of Directors think otherwise, a Ballot is necessary to put it in their power to return me to my Station at Fort St. George : Men who seldom agree seemed universally to concur in opinion, that No Proprietor could give on this occasion a *Negative*, which would be an Event highly honourable to me, but as my *Restoration* requires the concurrence of *three parts in four* on the Ballot, the favour of your attendance and Vote will very much oblige,

Your most obedient humble Servant,

Soho-Square,
March 27th, 1775.

ALEXANDER DALRYMPLE.

Question to be ballotted for on Thursday the 6th of April, between eleven o'clock in the forenoon and six o'clock in the evening.

“ That Alexander Dalrymple, having *never* been *unfaithful* to the Company *be restored* to their Service.”

Proprietors insisted that no Construction of the Act could ex-
 tend to my Case, and therefore objected to any Ballot; but as
 the Court of Directors think otherwise, a Ballot is necessary to
 put it in their power to return me to my Station at Fort St.
 George: Men who seldom agree I seem universally to con-
 cur in opinion, that No Proprietor could give on this oc-
 casion a Negative, which would be an Event highly incon-
 sistent to me; but as my Resolution requires the concurrence of
 three parts in four on the Ballot, the favour of your at-
 tendance and Vote will very much oblige,

Your most obedient humble Servant,

ALEXANDER DALRYMPLE.

Soho Square.
 March 27th, 1775.

Question to be ballotted for on Thursday the 6th of April,
 between eleven o'clock in the forenoon and six o'clock in
 the evening.

"That Alexander Dalrymple, having never been employ-
 ed to the Company's Service."